

Westlaw.

DE ST TI 11 § 4334
11 Del.C. § 4334

Page 1

S LAW ARY

C

DELAWARE CODE ANNOTATED

TITLE 11. CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

PART II. CRIMINAL PROCEDURE GENERALLY

CHAPTER 43. SENTENCING, PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDONS

SUBCHAPTER III. PROBATION AND SENTENCING PROCEDURES

§ 4334 Arrest for violation of conditions; subsequent disposition.

(a) The court may issue a warrant for the arrest of a probationer for violation of any of the conditions of probation or suspension of sentence, or a notice to appear to answer to a charge of violation. Such notice shall be personally served upon the probationer. The warrant shall authorize officers to return the probationer to the custody of the court or to the Department.

(b) The Commissioner, or any probation officer, when in the Commissioner's or probation officer's judgment there has been a violation of any condition of probation or suspension of sentence, may arrest such probationer without a warrant, or may deputize any other officer with power of arrest to do so by giving that officer a written statement setting forth that the probationer has, in the judgment of the Commissioner or probation officer, violated the conditions of probation or suspended sentence. The written statement delivered with the probationer by the arresting officer to the official in charge of the place of detention shall be sufficient warrant for the detention of the probationer. When an arrest is made by a probation officer, the Department shall present to the detaining authority a written statement of the circumstances of violation. Provisions regarding release on bail of persons charged with crime shall be applicable to the probationers arrested under these provisions.

(c) Upon such arrest and detention, the Department shall immediately notify the court and shall submit in writing a report showing in what manner the probationer has violated the conditions of probation or suspension of sentence. Thereupon, or upon arrest by warrant as provided in subsection (b) of this section, the court shall cause the probationer to be brought before it without unnecessary delay, for a hearing on the violation charge. The hearing may be informal or summary. If the violation is established, the court may continue or revoke the probation or suspension of sentence, and may require the probation violator to serve the sentence imposed, or any lesser sentence, and, if imposition of sentence was suspended, may impose any sentence which might originally have been imposed.

Read (d) Notwithstanding any provision of subsection (c) of this section or any other law, rule or regulation to the contrary, the Department is authorized to administratively resolve technical and minor violations of the conditions of probation or supervision at Accountability Levels I, II, III or IV when a sanction less restrictive than Level V is being sought by the Department as a result of the violation, and is further authorized to administratively resolve technical and minor violations of conditions of probation at Accountability Levels I, II, III, or IV by placing the probationer at Accountability Level IV for a period of not more than 5 days consecutively, and not more than 10 days in any 1 calendar year.^v The Department shall adopt written procedures providing for administrative review for all cases in which an offender is placed at Level IV pursuant to this subsection. All administrative dispositions imposed pursuant to this subsection shall be documented in the offender's record and shall be made available to the court in the event of a subsequent violation which is considered by the court. For the purposes

DE ST TI 11 § 4334
11 Del.C. § 4334

Page 2

of this subsection, the term "technical and minor violations of the conditions of probation or supervision" shall not include arrests or convictions for new criminal offenses. For the purposes of this subsection, the limits pertaining to the use of Level IV as administrative sanction for technical and minor violations of Level I, II or III shall not apply to the use of home confinement for such purposes.

(e) A probationer for whose return a warrant cannot be served, shall be deemed a fugitive from justice or to have fled from justice. If it shall appear that probationer has violated probation or suspended sentence, the court shall determine whether the time from issuing of the warrant to the date of the probationer's arrest, or any part of it, shall be counted as time served on probation or suspended sentence.

(f) The Justice of the Peace Court shall have jurisdiction over violations of probation where such probation or suspension of sentence was pursuant to an order of the Justice of the Peace Court.

(11 Del. C. 1953, § 4335; 54 Del. Laws, c. 349, § 7; 66 Del. Laws, c. 133, § 1; 67 Del. Laws, c. 442, § 2; 70 Del. Laws, c. 186, § 1; 74 Del. Laws, c. 27, § 5; 74 Del. Laws, c. 322, § 4.)

<General Materials (GM) - References, Annotations, or Tables>


NOTES, REFERENCES, AND ANNOTATIONS

Revisor's note. -- Section 11 of 74 Del. Laws, c. 27, provides: "This act shall be effective 30 days after its enactment." The act was signed by the Governor on May 1, 2003.

Effect of amendments. -- 74 Del. Laws, c. 27, rewrote (c), inserted present (d) and redesignated former (d) as present (e).

74 Del. Laws, c. 322, effective July 6, 2004, added (f).

This section does not grant authority to enlarge a period of probation once imposed. Tiller v. State, 257 A.2d 385 (Del. 1969).

 The Superior Court lacks the power to extend a probation period, since probation is a statutory creature and its implementation must be in accordance with the powers found within the statute. Tiller v. State, 257 A.2d 385 (Del. 1969).

Resentencing for violation. -- After determining that an accused violated probation, the superior court may require the probation violator to serve the sentence imposed, or any lesser sentence; if imposition of sentence was suspended, the court may impose any sentence which originally had been imposed. Ross v. State, 808 A.2d 1205 (Del. 2002).

When the trial court resentenced defendant, upon finding a violation of probation, to serve the unsuspended portion of a sentence, the trial court's reasoning that defendant was a violent person who had drugs, ammunition, and guns was logical and rational; defendant presented no evidence that the trial court had a closed mind. Weston v. State, 832 A.2d 742 (Del. 2003).

Superior Court may impose sentence for violation of probation after probation period has expired. Tiller v. State, 257 A.2d 385 (Del. 1969).

DE ST TI 11 § 4334
11 Del.C. § 4334

Page 3

The Superior Court did not abuse its discretion in reinstating defendant's sentence after he violated his probation by failing to report daily by telephone and by having unsupervised contact with minors. Bennett v. State, 763 A.2d 90 (Del. 2000).

Where defendant admitted to testing positive for cocaine, to terminating participation in a treatment program, and to not seeing the probation officer, defendant did not establish any violation of constitutional rights or a miscarriage of justice in the revocation of probation. State v. Mayfield, -- A.2d -- (Del. Super. Ct. June 2, 2003).

The sentence of Level V time reimposed by the trial court at defendant's violation of probation hearing was proper as it was within the amount of Level V time still remaining on his earlier sentences. Harris v. State, 822 A.2d 396 (Del. 2003).

Revocation of probation is an exercise of broad discretionary power. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

→ Delayed hearing. -- Trial court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed defendant's probation revocation hearing for one and one-half hours to allow the State's witnesses to arrive as: the State was diligent in preparing for the hearing; the matter could not have been prosecuted without the testimony of the witnesses; the trial court was not inconvenienced; and defense counsel was still able to attend the next scheduled hearing. Weston v. State, 832 A.2d 742 (Del. 2003).

A probationer accused of violation is not entitled to a trial in any strict or formal sense; probationer's entitlement in this regard is limited to an inquiry so fitted in its range to the needs of the occasion as to justify the conclusion that discretion has not been abused by the failure of the inquisitor to carry the probe deeper. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

There is no prescription in this section as to nature and scope of the hearing. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Sua sponte contested violation hearing. -- Sua sponte order for contested violation of probation hearing was not required where defendant had notice of the violation of probation hearing, attended the hearing, had the opportunity to speak at the hearing, and failed to advise the trial court that the violation of probation charge was contested. Cannon v. State, 830 A.2d 409 (Del. 2003).

The probationer is not entitled to the presumption of innocence or confrontation as a matter of due process, at a hearing on revocation. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Admissibility of evidence. -- Material may be received and considered in a proceeding for the revocation of probation which would not be admissible in a criminal trial under the rules of evidence. This would include hearsay. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Where defendant's probation officer received a tip from a reliable informant, and obtained approval to stop and search defendant's vehicle, the search was supported by probable cause and was a constitutionally reasonable search under U.S. Const. amend. IV and Del. Const. art. 1, § 6; therefore, the seized evidence was admissible and was not subject to suppression. Fuller v. State, 844 A.2d 290 (Del. 2004).

DE ST TI 11 § 4334
11 Del.C. § 4334

Page 4

Habeas corpus review unavailable. -- Defendant's claims arising from a violation of probation hearing were not a proper subject for habeas corpus review; a habeas petition could not be used as a substitute for a direct appeal. *Reid v. State*, -- A.2d -- (Del. Jan. 30, 2004).

Probation revocation may not stand unless there is some competent evidence to prove the violation asserted. *Brown v. State*, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Where there is no competent evidence upon which to base the exercise of sound judicial discretion, the revocation of probation and the imposition of prison sentence constitutes an abuse of discretion. *Brown v. State*, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Probation violation sentence. -- Upon sentencing defendant for violation of probation, he should have been given Level V credit for the time he served at Level V incarceration while waiting for available space at Level IV work release. *Gamble v. State*, 728 A.2d 1171 (Del. 1999).

Despite defendant's credit time towards a sentence, defendant was properly under the department of correction's supervision at the time defendant used illegal drugs; under subsection (c) of this section, defendant was properly subjected to having defendant's previously suspended sentence reimposed for a violation of probation. *Gaines v. State*, 803 A.2d 428 (Del. 2002).

A trial court was authorized to reimpose the suspended portion of defendant's original sentence after defendant admitted to violating probation, because defendant did not demonstrate either a closed mind on the part of the judge or an abuse of discretion. *Johnson v. State*, 825 A.2d 238 (Del. 2003).

Withdrawal motion was moot where there was competent evidence, including defendant's own testimony, to support the conviction; upon finding a violation of probation, the court properly reimposed the incarceration remaining on the defendant's sentence. *Brown v. State*, 829 A.2d 935 (Del. 2003).

Sentencing of defendant after probation violation did not violate state statutory law because the trial court merely sentenced defendant to a prison term that it could have imposed originally had the trial court not chosen to grant probation to defendant instead. *State v. Gatlin*, -- A.2d -- (Del. Super. Ct. Dec. 17, 2003).

Sufficient, competent evidence existed to show defendant violated probation, including defendant's own testimony and a probation officer's testimony that (1) probation had never been transferred to New Jersey, (2) defendant failed to report to the Delaware probation office for an extended period of time, (3) defendant failed to report a change of address, (4) defendant failed to report new criminal charges, and (5) defendant failed to maintain full-time employment. *Walley v. State*, -- A.2d -- (Del. May 4, 2004).

Incarceration (for probation violation of missing more than two probation appointments) was necessary not only to get a defendant's attention, but also to protect the public and to see to it that the defendant received proper substance and mental health treatment. *State v. Styers*, -- A.2d -- (Del. Super. Ct. July 1, 2004).

Restrictions on availability of furlough; work release or supervised custody. -- Imposition of condition that a defendant is not to be furloughed, allowed to participate in work release, or allowed to participate in supervised custody outside of the prison facilities as authorized by § 4204(k) of this Title on the

DE ST TI 11 § 4334
11 Del.C. § 4334

Page 5

reimposition of an original term of imprisonment following a revocation of probation does not constitute an increase in that term of imprisonment and does not conflict with the terms of subsection (c) of this section. Ingram v. State, 567 A.2d 868 (Del. 1989).

Scope of review. -- On appellate review of revocation of probation, the question may be limited to whether there has been an abuse of discretion. Brown v. State, 249 A.2d 269 (Del. 1968).

Double jeopardy. -- Defendant's discharge from a boot camp program before the completion of a second probation violation sentence mooted any double jeopardy violation of either the United States or Delaware Constitutions. Ross v. State, 808 A.2d 1205 (Del. 2002).

Where defendant's postconviction claims were identical to those in a prior motion to correct an illegal sentence, defendant's postconviction motion was procedurally barred; in addition, defendant's conduct, which constituted both a violation of probation and a violation of parole, did not offend the Double Jeopardy Clause. State v. Stewart, -- A.2d -- (Del. Super. Ct. Apr. 17, 2003).

Defendant's sentence for violation of his probation did not violate double jeopardy because, the trial court could, upon finding a violation of probation under subsection (c) of this section, require defendant to serve the sentence imposed, or any lesser sentence; if imposition of sentence was suspended, the court could impose any sentence which originally had been imposed. Sewell v. State, 839 A.2d 666 (Del. 2003).

Where the purposes and procedures of a probation revocation hearing were fundamentally different from a criminal prosecution, collateral estoppel did not bar the subsequent prosecution of a criminal charge based on factual allegations decided adversely to the State in an earlier violation of probation hearing; as a result, defendant's motion to dismiss the charges was denied. State v. O'Donnell, -- A.2d -- (Del. Super. Ct. Apr. 7, 2004).

11 Del.C. § 4334, DE ST TI 11 § 4334

Current through 2004 Regular Session of the 142nd General Assembly

Delaware Code Annotated. Copyright © 2004 by the State of Delaware.

All rights reserved.

END OF DOCUMENT

FORM #585

MEDICAL GRIEVANCEFACILITY: D.C.CDATE SUBMITTED: 9-22-05INMATE'S NAME: Kenneth HollandSBI#: 167800HOUSING UNIT: 5441-18-D-L-7#CASE #: 18169

SECTION #:
2
DATE & TIME OF MEDICAL INCIDENT: 12-2-04

TYPE OF MEDICAL PROBLEM:

On the date of 12-2-04, I was given a check up by Doctor Rogers, after just arriving from the Central Violation of Probation Center. I had numerous injuries that I had complained about such as a cut to my ~~left~~ right shoulder, numbness in my thumbs and burning to the face. I was told by Doctor Rogers, that my cut has already began healing, and to let it do its work. I asked to log my injuries to have them on file. She said that she would, but there is no mention of my injuries in my file. I just became aware of this information today.

GRIEVANT'S SIGNATURE: Kenneth HollandDATE: 9-22-05ACTION REQUESTED BY GRIEVANT: I would like an investigation done and copy of the findings sent to me.

DATE RECEIVED BY MEDICAL UNIT: _____

NOTE: EMERGENCY MEDICAL CONDITIONS WILL TAKE PRIORITY. OTHERWISE, MEDICAL GRIEVANCES WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE WEEKLY MEDICAL COMMITTEE MEETING.

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2005

Inmate Grievance Files

Instructions for Submitting a Regular Grievance

Inmates are required, per DOC Procedure 4.4 [Inmate Grievance Procedure] to attempt to resolve complaints prior to filing a regular grievance. Grievances are to be submitted within seven (7) days from the date of the occurrence or incident or within seven days after the inmate became aware of the incident. The grievance is to be placed in the grievance box located in each housing unit.

Only one issue per grievance form will be addressed. If the grievance is submitted on a weekend or a holiday, it will be received during the next working day.

Return of Unprocessed Grievance

Intake Action: This Grievance Form is being returned to the inmate under the provisions outlined in DOV Procedure 4.4 "Inmate Grievance Procedure" for the following reason(s) :

☐ **Vulgar/Abusive or Threatening Language.** The Language that is unacceptable has been highlighted. The grievance may be resubmitted omitting this language.

☐ **Non-Grievable.** This issue has been defined as non-grievable in accordance with DOC Policy 4.4. These procedures have their own appeal process that must be followed.

☐ **Disciplinary Action**

☐ **Parole Decision**

☐ **Classification Action**

☒ **Request.** Requests are not processed through the grievance procedure. Please correspond with the appropriate Office to secure the information that is requested.

☐ **Duplicate Grievance(s).** This issue has been addressed previously in Grievance # _____.

☐ **Original Grievances must be submitted to the Inmate Grievance Chairperson.** Photocopies are not accepted.

☐ **Inquiry on behalf of other inmates.** Inmates cannot submit grievances for other inmates.

☒ **Expired Filing period.** Grievance exceeds seven (7) days from date of occurrence.

*You need to write to FCM.
they held the medical
Contract at the
time of this
issue*

[Signature]
Inmate Grievance Chairperson

SEP 30 2005

Date

Delaware Department of Correction Health Care Services Fee Sheet

Inmate Name _____ **SBI #** _____

(Last, First MI)

Facility _____ Date _____

<input type="checkbox"/>	Chargeable Visit	12/2/04	\$4.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non Chargeable Visit		-0-
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medication Handling Fee (\$2.00 X _____)		\$_____

Total Amount Charged To Inmate Account \$ 22

Health Care Staff Signature: _____

I CERTIFY BY MY SIGNATURE THAT I HAVE RECEIVED THE SERVICES DESCRIBED ABOVE.

Inmate Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

1) *Witness Signature: _____ Date: _____

2) *Witness Signature: _____ Date: _____

The fee for services rendered will be deducted from your inmate account even if the amount deducted generates a negative balance. Any funds received by you will first be applied to any negative balance. Any negative balance remaining on your account when you are released will remain active for three (3) years after the date of release. Should you return to Delaware Department of Correction as an inmate within that three (3) year period, the negative balance will be applied to your inmate account on your new commitment.

Distribution:

Original: Facility Business Office Posted/Entered by _____ Date _____

Copy: Inmate Medical Record (yellow)
Inmate (pink)

*Only needed if inmate refuses or is unable to sign.

FORM #: 621

3 part NCR

Bayhealth
Medical Center

KENT GENERAL
HOSPITAL

EMERGENCY



K05293-00155

00-1238297

ERK

HOLLAND, KENNETH L

M DOB: 05/15/1970 35Y (302) 734-3371

PHYSICIAN, EMERGENC

10/20/05

DATE:

10/20/05

DOB:

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CARE FOLLOWING DISCHARGE FROM THE EMERGENCY DEPT:

Kent General Hospital Emergency Personnel are specially trained to deal with emergencies. While we are here to help with your immediate health problems the treatment you receive is not meant to take the place of the complete care your doctor will give you. We will advise your local family doctor what we have treated you for by sending him/her a copy of your Emergency Department record. In most cases, we recommend that you see your family doctor for follow-up care. If you do not have a family doctor, please tell us, and we will give you a list of names for you to choose from.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

no medications

MEDICATION INSTRUCTIONS:

- ☒ Fill prescriptions and take medications according to directions.
- ☒ Do not drink alcohol or take sedatives with this medication.
- ☒ Do not drive, operate machinery, or perform dangerous tasks while taking this medication.
- ☒ Take this medication with food. *metformin*
- ☐

FOLLOW-UP INSTRUCTIONS:

- ☐ See your family doctor.
- ☒ Return to the Emergency Department. *if worse*
- ☒ See Dr. *Stewart in ER if not better*
- ☐ No work / school _____
- ☐ Light duty _____
- ☐ May return to work / school _____
- ☐ Call for Occupational Health appt. _____
- ☐ in _____ days Call for appt.
- ☐ on _____ at _____
- ☐ No gym / sports

PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE: _____

(ICA)

I UNDERSTAND THAT:

I should see my family doctor or return to the Emergency Department if I become worse or develop further problems.

If x-rays were taken, they will be read by a radiologist and I may be called for a recheck or further x-rays if necessary.

I am responsible for arranging my follow-up care.

I have received the above instructions and they were explained to me by an emergency physician or nurse.

INSTRUCTED BY: _____

K.R.

DATE: *10/20/05*TIME: *1245*

I have read and understand these instructions.

Account Number: 8529300155

[illegible]

If you require an itemized statement, please call: 302-674-2202

★ ★ ★ ★ PLEASE SEE LETTER ON REVERSE SIDE ★ ★ ★ ★

If we do not have insurance information please fill out information below.

Patient Name _____ Date of Birth _____ SS# _____ Marital Status
☐ S ☐ M ☐ D ☐ W

Street _____ Home Phone _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Employer _____ Business Phone _____

Employer Address _____

Insurance Company _____ Ins. Company Address _____
☐ Self ☐ Spouse
☐ Dependent ☐ Other _____

Subscriber/Cardholder Name _____ Relationship to Subscriber _____

Identification No. _____ Group No. _____

Job connected illness or injury? ☐ Yes ☐ No Auto Accident? ☐ Yes ☐ No Date of Onset or Accident: ____ / ____ / ____

Other Insurance Information _____

* If remitting payment, please make sure address on other side appears through window *

DO NOT SEND PAYMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO THIS ADDRESS.

KENT DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY

PO Box 835

Oaks, PA 19456



03-06-06

OFFICE PHONE: 302-674-2202

Office Hours: 9AM - 4PM Mon. - Fri.

Fax: 1-302-674-8359

KENNETH L HOLLAND
211 N NEW STREET
DOVER, DE 19901

Patient Name: KENNETH L HOLLAND
Account #: 8529300155
Amount Due: \$39.00

FINAL NOTICE!

According to our records, your balance of \$39.00 is delinquent and remains unpaid to our practice. Please pay the amount in full immediately using the bottom portion of this letter or call 302-674-2202 to make payment arrangements.

If payment is not received within 10 days your account may be placed for collection without further involvement by KENT DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY.

Please understand that failure to pay could adversely affect your credit rating.

Respond to this collection notice today.

CC: Collection Coordinator

FINAL NOTICE!

Please detach and return bottom portion with your payment in enclosed envelope

GUARANTOR NAME AND ADDRESS:

KENNETH L HOLLAND
211 N NEW STREET
DOVER, DE 19901

AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	\$
----------------------	----

Payment Due 3/16/06

SERVICES PROVIDED BY:

Patient Name: KENNETH L HOLLAND
Account #: 8529300155
Amount Due: \$39.00

**KENT DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY
ASSOCIATES, P.A.
P. O. BOX 1256
DOVER, DE 19903-1256**
|||||

PLEASE SEND ALL PAYMENTS AND

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
DOVER		01/07/83	SHOPLIFTING	ARBITRATION
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	11/27/79 11/22/79	5079005241 CONSPIRACY	DISP=ADWH ARBITRATION
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	11/27/79 11/22/79	5079005241 THEFT MISDEMEANOR	DISP=ADWH ARBITRATION
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	11/27/79 11/22/79	5079005241 BURGLARY 2ND	DISP=ADWH ARBITRATION
DCC DELAWAR CORRECTIONAL CENTER SMYRN	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: NC03002108	02/14/03 02/10/03	4103000005 Promoting Prison Contraband Person Confined Makes Obtains Possesses Contraban	DISP=BOPC DISP=NOLP29
DCC DELAWAR CORRECTIONAL CENTER SMYRN	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: NC03002108	02/14/03 02/10/03	4103000005 Promoting Prison Contraband Person Confined Makes Obtains Possesses Contraban	DISP=BOPC DISP=PG
DCC DELAWAR CORRECTIONAL CENTER SMYRN	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: NC03002108	02/14/03 02/10/03	4103000005 Possession With Intent to Deliver a Nonnarcotic Schedule I Controlled Substanc	DISP=BOPC DISP=NOLP29

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	10/02/91 10/02/91	5091015032 POSS. W/I DEL. NAR. SCH I OR II	DISP=GLTY
CVOP CENTRAL VIOLATION OF PROBATION CT SMYRNA	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: NC04013862	11/30/04 11/26/04	6804000005 ASSAULT IN A DETENTION FACILITY INJURY TO ANOTHER	
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC00000347	03/10/00 03/10/00	5000005279 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF UNDER \$1000 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC00000347	03/10/00 03/10/00	5000005279 RESISTING ARREST	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC00000347	03/10/00 03/10/00	5000005279 POSSESSION OF DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC00000347	03/10/00 03/10/00	5000005279 POSS OF NARC SCH II CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE WITHIN 1000 FEET OF SCHOOL	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC00000347	03/10/00 03/10/00	5000005279 POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DELIVER A NARCOTIC SCHEDULE II CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	REDUCED TO: POSS, USE, CONS.N DISP=WDPH DISP=PGLI

his is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/Offense	Complaint Number/Charge	Disposition
ENT COUNTY UPERIOR OURT DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	01/21/00 01/21/00	VIOLATION OF PROBATION	DISP=GLTY
MYRNA PD MYRNA	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001659	12/02/99 11/16/99	5899010204 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF UNDER \$1000 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=BONS DISP=NOLP29
MYRNA PD MYRNA	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001659	12/02/99 11/16/99	5899010204 THEFT UNDER \$1000 (DEPRIVE)	REDUCED TO: RSP < \$1000 DISP=BONS DISP=PGLI
MYRNA PD MYRNA	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001659	12/02/99 11/16/99	5899010204 BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE	DISP=BONS DISP=NOLP29
ROOP 3 STAT OLICE OODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND	12/01/99 12/01/99	FAILURE TO HAVE INSURANCE IDENTIFICATIO N IN POSSESSION	DISP=NOLP83
ROOP 3 STAT OLICE OODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND	12/01/99 12/01/99	FAILURE TO HAVE REGISTRATION CARD IN POSSESSION	DISP=NOLP83
ROOP 3 STAT OLICE OODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND	12/01/99 12/01/99	DRIVING WHILE SUSPENDED OR REVOKED	DISP=PG FINE:\$50.00 VCF:\$9.00 CC:\$40.00
ROOP 3 STAT OLICE OODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND	12/01/99 12/01/99	EXCEED 50 MPH ON 2 LANE ROADWAYS	DISP=PGAI FINE:\$20.00 VCF:\$3.60 CC:\$40.00

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 CRIMINAL TRESPASS THIRD DEGREE	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF UNDER \$1000 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF UNDER \$1000 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 RESISTING ARREST	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 ATTEMPTED THEFT \$1000 OR GREATER (DEPRIVE	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 ATTEMPTED THEFT \$1000 OR GREATER (DEPRIVE	DISP=WDPH DISP=PG
TROOP 8 STAT POLICE ODESS	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC99001635	11/25/99 11/25/99	0399027594 POSSESSION OF BURGLAR'S TOOLS	DISP=WDPH DISP=PG CONF:3Y CREDIT DAYS: 1

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
				CONSECUTIVE
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	09/14/99 09/14/99	5099021651 CONTEMPT OF COURT CCP	DISPOSITION UNOBTAINABLE
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	09/14/99 09/14/99	DRIVING WHILE SUSPENDED OR REVOKED	DISP=GLTY FINE:\$50.00 VCF:\$9.00 CC:\$30.00
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	03/08/99 03/08/99	DRIVING WHILE SUSPENDED OR REVOKED	AMENDED TO: FAIL TO REINSTA DISP=TNJG FINE:\$100.00 VCF:\$18.00 CC:\$40.00 SUSPENDED PROB:1Y PAY PD FEE CONSECUTIVE
CAPITOL PD Dover	KENNETH L HOLLAND	04/11/96 03/28/96	9696000525 DISORDERLY CONDUCT NOISE OFFENSIVE UTTERANCE GESTURE DISPLAY ABUSIVE LANGUAGE	DISP=PG FINE:\$50.00 VCF:\$9.00 CC:\$40.00
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	03/19/96 03/19/96	5096004472 CONTEMPT OF COURT CCP	DISPOSITION UNOBTAINABLE
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	03/16/96 03/16/96	5096004245 HINDERING PROSECUTION PREVENT OBSTRUCT BY FORCE INTIMIDATION DECEPTION APPRE	DISP=TNJG FINE:\$100.00 VCF:\$18.00 CC:\$40.00 CONF:1Y SUSPENDED INTS:6M HELD L3 SENT RUNS AT EXPIR.

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC96000299	02/26/96 02/26/96	5096003094 FALSELY REPORTING AN INCIDENT REPORT TO LAW OFFICER AGENCY INCIDENT NOT OCCUR	OF SUPERIOR COURT SENT CONCURRENT DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC96000299	02/26/96 02/26/96	5096003093 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF OVER \$1500 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC96000299	02/26/96 02/26/96	5096003093 THEFT OVER \$500	DISP=WDPH DISP=NOLP29
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC96000299	02/26/96 02/26/96	5096003093 BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE	DISP=WDPH DISP=PG CC:\$305.00 CONF:3Y SUSPENDED SUP COSTS THE DEFENDANT SHALL SELF REPORT TO THE DCC AT 9:00 AM ON MAY 27, 1996. AFTER SERVING 6 MONTHS THE BALANCE O VIOL O/PROBATN DISP=GLTY VIOL O/PROBATN DISP=GLTY CONF:2Y6M

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	02/12/96 02/12/96	OPERATION OF AN UNREGISTERED MOTOR VEHICLE	SUSP TO:1Y LEVEL:3 CONSECUTIVE DISP=NOLP83
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	02/12/96 02/12/96	FAILURE TO REINSTATE LICENSE	DISP=PG FINE:\$50.00 VCF:\$9.00 CC:\$60.00
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 BURGLARY SECOND DEGREE ARMED WITH EXPLOSIVES OR A DEADLY WEAPON	DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF OVER \$1500 DAMAGE PROPERTY	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=DMPH DISP=NOLP29
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OVER \$500	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 POSSESS A DEADLY WEAPON BY A PERSON PROHIBITED PRIOR VIOLENT CRIME FELONY	DISP=BOPC DISP=PG FINE:\$200.00 VCF:\$36.00 CONF:2Y SUSP TO:1Y LEVEL:1 SUSP TO:1Y LEVEL:2 VIOL O/PROBATN DISP=GLTY CONF:1Y SUSP TO:9M LEVEL:3 CONCURRENT VIOL O/PROBATN DISP=GLTY
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT	KENNETH L HOLLAND	06/29/95	0395007516	DISP=BOPC

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
POLICE WOODSIDE	AG CASE: KC95000710	05/26/95	CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	REDUCED TO: RSP >\$1000 DISP=BOPC DISP=TGLI
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC95000710	06/29/95 05/26/95	0395007516 THEFT OF A FIREARM	DISP=BOPC DISP=TNG

DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	10/02/91 10/02/91	5091015032 VIOLATION OF PROBATION	DISP=GLTY CONF:1Y6M PART:3M INTS:1Y CONCURRENT
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND	10/02/91 10/02/91	5091015032 VIOLATION OF PROBATION	DISP=GLTY
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC91000734	10/02/91 10/02/91	5091015032 TRAFFICKING IN COCAINE 5 GRAMS TO 50 GRAMS	DISP=TG CONF:2 Y
TROOP 3 STAT POLICE WOODSIDE	KENNETH L HOLLAND	10/02/91 09/26/91	0391012804 OFFENSIVE TOUCHING	DISP=QUAS
DOVER PD DOVER	KENNETH L HOLLAND AG CASE: KC91000734	10/02/91 10/02/91	5091015032 POSS W I TO DEL MANUF NAR SCH I OR II	DISP=TG PROB:2 Y CONF:2 Y SUSP:2 Y
DOVER PD	KENNETH L HOLLAND	09/03/91	5091013119	DISP=DISM

AG OFFICE DELJIS Charge Summary

PAGE 10

This is the charge record of:
KENNETH L HOLLAND

DOB 05/15/1970 SBI # 00164800

Arresting Agency	Name (Including Aliases)	Date of Arrest/ Offense	Complaint Number/ Charge	Disposition
DOVER		09/03/91	MENACING	UNDER RULE 48B